(Police).

File no: 38 of 1901-02

Sls 1 to 2.

Prosecution of parties concerned in infant marriage in the village of Siromanahalli.

Indexed. Srinivasa Rao.

Commissioner.

J378

3527.

14-9-1901

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
SECTION Pol. GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

FILE No. 38 of 01-02

Dated 11-1-

Despatched 12 September 190

Received

General No. 1488

No. 119

Enclosure No. 1 & a file

Requesting sanction
to the prosecution o
the parties concerned
in the Sivanasamudra
infants marriage case

Jmd
14.9.01

MGCPE—5-3-01-1000

14.
1901

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 1488/119 Dated Bangalore 11th September 1901.

Enc.

From

B. K. Venkatavaradacharyar Esq.,

Offg. ~~THE~~ INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq., M.A., M.L.,
~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I beg to forward herewith copy of letter No. 139 of the 31st August 1901, from the Assistant Superintendent of Police of the Chitaldrug District in re the marriage of one Bavamma, daughter of Kumbar Siddappa, when she was four years of age, in the village of Dimmanahalli of the Davangere Taluk. I solicit sanction to the prosecution of the said Kumbar Siddappa, the father of the bride, Nanappa, the father of the bridegroom and Lingala Basappa the priest who performed the marriage rites

rites) under the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation.

The records of the case are also enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Esq. Inspector General of Police.

S.

Sec 1 Sd. 1.

1901–1902.

~~189~~

From N. Subba Rao Esqr. B.A.,
Assistant Superintendent of Police
thro' the Chitaldrug District.
To Deputy of Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To B.K. Venkatavaradacharyar Esqr
Inspector General of Police
Mysore.

Dated 31st August 1901

Despatched 4th September 1901.

Received

General No. 139

No.

Enclosure No. one file of recor

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Deputy Amildar of Hosdrug reported on the 22nd January last that in course of his inspection of Canara work, he found out an infant girl in the village of Jantigalu, who had already been married.

2. The case was referred to the Jamedar of the Sub-Taluk for investigation.

3. The facts of the case as would appear from the records

records of investigation are that in the month of Jesta last (May–June 1900) a marriage was celebrated in the village of Siromanahalli of the Davangere taluk between Nagayya, son of Manappa and Basavva, daughter of Kinibar Siddappa that the ages of the bridegroom and the bride at the time of the marriage were 12 and 4 years respectively and that Matada Basappa performed the religious rites.

4. Vaganar Gurumanjappa and Ganigappa, Potels of Siromanahalli, testify to the celebration of the marriage at Siromanahalli and also to the fact that the bride Basavva was an infant under five years of age at the time of the marriage.

5. Manappa, the father of the bridegroom, Siddappa, the father of the bride and Ayyala Basappa, the Ayya who performed the marriage rites, admit that the bride at the time of the marriage was an infant, under five years of age and plead ignorance of the Regulation prohibiting such marriages.

(6) The Register of births for the year 94–95, shows

the

the girl is stated to have been born, not being available both with the Petn and in the record of the Sub-Jail, it has not been possible to determine the exact date of birth of the girl.

7. I have satisfied myself by personally seeing the girl that she is now hardly 6 years of age.

8. The Petnr. Shivnarain Mahalli in whose village the marriage took place has omitted to report the fact immediately to the Amildar

9. On his explanation he also pleads ignorance of the Regulation in common with the parents of the Bridegroom and the bride. The Deputy Commissioner will be moved to take due notice of the irregularity of the Petn.

10. There is therefore a clear infringement of the provisions of the Infant Marriage Regulation on the part of Shivlakshman, Manakshi, and Jyegal Basappa

11. I beg you will kindly obtain the sanction of Government to the prosecution

prosecution of these men.

The records of the case as per
ferist are enclosed for information.

I have &c,

(Sd). C V. Subba Rao.

Asst. Superintendent of Police.

Copy

[illegible]

Offg. Inspector General of Police.

11. 9. 1901. SS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Secn. — Pol.

READ—

Letter No. 1488/119 dated the 28th of 2 Septr 1901

from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore

reporting that in the month of Jeshta (May–June 1900) an infant girl of the age of 4 years

named Basavva, daughter of one Kumbara Siddappa

was married to ~~one~~ a boy ~~named~~ of the age of 12 years,

named Nagayya, the son of one Manappa

at Sivamanahalli of the Davangere Taluk.

ORDER THEREON No. 5868-69 Secl/Pole DATED BANGALORE 5th October 1901

J.477.

Under the provisions of Section 8 of Regulation X of 1894, the Government are pleased to direct the prosecution, for offences under Section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation, of the marginally noted persons who caused the marriage of the infant girl named Basavva with a boy named Nagayya or knowingly aided and abetted the same, ~~and of the said husband~~

Siddappa (father of the bride)
Manappa (do of the bridegroom)
Gyerebasappa (the purohit).

Who

28-9-01

Secretary

To —The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.
The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore, returning the
Vern: proceedings received with the letter